Vol. 16 No. 1 Spring 2008



# NBPME Reports The National Board of Podiatric Medical Examiners Newsletter

#### 2007 ANNUAL REPORT OF EXAMINATION PERFORMANCE DATA NBPME PARTS I AND II

The 2006 independent audit of the NBPME examinations recommended that NBPME resume the sharing of examination performance data with its constituents and the public (<u>Independent Audit of NBPME 2006</u>, General Recommendations, p. 6). This report provides data showing the historical average examination performance and pass rates for Parts I and II from 2004 through 2007, the reliability of the 2007 tests and their passing scores, and the 2007 performance of the first time candidates in each of the main content areas of Parts I and II.

#### Parts I and II History

National Mean Scaled Score		Nation	National Passing Data					
<u>Year</u>	Part I	Part II	<u>Year</u>	Part I	Part II			
2004	79.8	80.9	2004	82%	84%			
2005	79.7	79.5	2005	83%	80%			
2006	79.1	79.1	2006	81%	80%			
2007	79.2	79.3	2007	81%	79%			

#### Mean Scaled Score by Graduation Class

<b>Graduation Class</b>	Part I	Part II
2005	76.2	79.5
2006	79.8	79.1
2007	79.7	79.3

#### Reliability Data

Part I

	(Alpha)	(Subkoviak)
July, 2004	0.90	0.89
July, 2005	0.87	0.88
July, 2006	0.85	0.87
July, 2007	0.87	0.87

2 NBPME Reports Spring 2008

#### Reliability Data - (continued)

#### Part II

	(Alpha)	(Subkoviak)
March, 2004	0.81	0.88
March, 2005	0.84	0.81
March, 2006	0.81	0.86
March, 2007	0.83	0.86

*Alpha* shows the amount of score reliability due to content sampling. *Alpha* estimates greater than 0.70 have been stated to be acceptable (Cortina, 1993) in tests used in applied settings such as the NPBME Part I examinations. Examining the displays following this explanation means that the domain of tested content is sampled consistently by the examination; this reliability estimate is a function of both item and examinee variability.

Subkoviak's PF  $r_{tt}$  shows the consistency with which examinees were placed into passing and failing categories. It shows the amount of consistency in classifying candidates to pass/fail categories as if those same people were permitted to test twice on the same test-with all things considered equal (i.e., they didn't improve due to practice effects).

Cortina, J.M. (1993). What is Coefficient alpha? An examination of theory and applications. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 78 (1,) 98-104.

Subkoviak, M.J. (1976). Estimating the Reliability from a Single Administration of a Criterion Referenced Test. <u>Journal of Educational Measurement</u>, 13, 263-276.

Subkoviak, M.J. (1988). A Practitioner's Guide to Computation and Interpretation of Reliability Indices for Mastery Tests. Journal of Educational Measurement, 25, 47-55.

#### *March* 2007

#### Part II - Clinical Science First-Time Candidate Performance

#### **Overall Test Performance**

Total (First-Time) Candidates	319
Scaled Score Mean	79.26
Scaled Score Standard Deviation	5.59
Scaled Minimum Score Obtained	58
Scaled Maximum Score Obtained	90
Raw SEM	4.79
Scaled SEM	2.30

#### Pass/Fail Performance (First-Time Candidates)

Pass	251	79%
Fail	68	21%

#### March 2007 Part II - Clinical Science - (continued)

Items per Area in Part II Clinical Science

Medicine	38
Radiology	30
Orthopedics, Biomechanics, and Sports Medicine	30
Anesthesia and Surgery	37
Community Health, Jurisprudence, and Research	15

#### Diagnostic Scaled Subscore Information Per Area Part II (First-Time Candidates)

Scaled Score	Medicine  38 items (25% of test)		Radiology  30 items (20% of test)		Orthopedics, Biomechanics, and Sports Medicine 30 items (20% of test)		Anesthesia and Surgery  37 items (25% of test)		Community Health, Jurisprudence, and Research 15 items (10% of test)	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
2*	250	78.4	266	83.4	258	80.9	249	78.1	251	78.7
1*	69	21.6	53	16.6	61	19.1	70	21.9	68	21.3
Mean	1.78		1.83		1.81		1.78		1.79	
Std. Dev.	0.41		0.41 0.37 0.39		).39	0.41		0.41		
Median	1.	862	1	.900	1	.882	1.859		1.865	

<sup>\*</sup>Diagnostics: '2' indicates performance at or above the level of minimum competence.

<u>Diagnostic Information by Subscore</u>. This section provides subscore statistics (on a scale of 1 to 2) for each of the content areas. A score of '1' indicates that the performance is below the level of minimum competence. A score of '2' indicates that the performance is at or above the level of minimum competence. For content areas where the mean and the median are below 1.5, the content areas could be considered to be an area of weakness. On score reports, the subscores are only reported to failing candidates, and their primary purpose is to inform failing candidates as to the areas that caused them to fail and to urge them to study the indicated areas. The use of these subscores for school program evaluation is cautioned.

## July 2007 Part I - Basic Science First-Time Candidate Performance

#### **Overall Test Performance**

Total (First-Time) Candidates	429
Scaled Score Mean	79.16
Scaled Score Standard Deviation	5.31
Scaled Minimum Score Obtained	61
Scaled Maximum Score Obtained	90
Raw SEM	4.98
Scaled SEM	1.89

<sup>&#</sup>x27;1' indicates performance below the level of minimum competence.

4 NBPME Reports Spring 2008

#### July 2007 Part I - Basic Science - (continued)

#### **Pass/Fail Performance (First-Time Candidates)**

Pass	349	81%
Fail	80	19%

Items per Area in Part I Basic Science

General Anatomy	15
Lower Extremity	30
Biochemistry	15
Physiology	23
Microbiology	23
Pathology	22
Pharmacology	22

### Diagnostic Scaled Subscore Information Per Area Part I (First-Time Candidates)

	Gene Anate		Extre	Lower tremity Biochemistry Physiology Immunology		Patho	ology	Pharmacology							
Scaled	15 Ito (10% o		30 Ite (20% o		15 Ito (10% o			23 Items (15% of test) 23 Items (15% of test) (22 Items (15% of test)				ems of test)			
Score	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
2*	315	73.4	347	80.9	332	77.4	334	77.9	325	75.8	336	78.3	362	84.4	
1*	114	26.6	82	19.1	97	22.6	95	22.1	104	24.2	93	21.7	67	15.6	
Mean	1.7	'3	1.8	1.81 1.7		1.77 1.78		1.78 1.76		1.78		1.84			
Std. Dev.	0.4	4	0.3	0.39		0.39 0.42		0.4	0.42 0.43		3	0.41		0.3	36
Median	1.8	19	1.88	32	1.83	54	1.8	58	1.84	40	1.8	62	1.9	07	

<sup>\*</sup>Diagnostics:

National Board of Podiatric Medical Examiners (Parts I, II & III-PMLexis)

P. O. Box 510

Bellefonte, PA 16823 Tel: (814) 357-0487 Fax: (814) 357-0581

<sup>&#</sup>x27;2' indicates performance at or above the level of minimum competence.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;1' indicates performance below the level of minimum competence.